

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/090,787		KOIKE, MASAHIRO	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Lourdes Cruz		2827	

All Participants:

(1) Lourdes Cruz

(2) Mr. Curtis

Date of Interview: 17 September 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Amended after first non-final action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:30 P.M.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Cruz contacted Applicant's representative in order to get authorization to make changes to the Specification such that the drawings and the specification would concord to one another. The examiner specifically informed Mr. Curtis that reference no. 9 was not mentioned in the Specification, and that in order to expedite prosecution, it needed to be added. Mr. Curtis kindly agreed to authorize the examiner to make the examiner's amendment. .